

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/083,410		SAEKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
Jeffrey C. Mullis		1711		

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) Garret Davis.

**Date of Interview:** 13 July 2004

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*22 23*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*The examiner contacted applicants attorney for permission for the examiner's amendment to claim 22 for clarity and to cancel claim 23 since it did not further limit a preceding claim.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Jeffrey Mullis**  
**Primary Examiner**  
**Art Unit 1711**



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)